



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,133	09/24/2003	Omayma El-Sayed Moharram	OMCS-001-US	2018
68108	7590	01/21/2010		
OMAYMA E. MOHARRAM RR 1 STN MAIN 225 SPRUCE CRESCENT CARLETON PLACE, ON K7C-3P1 CANADA			EXAMINER ANTONIENKO, DEBRA L.	
			ART UNIT 3689	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/21/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

omayma@sympatico.ca  
OMAYMA@OMCSCONSULTANTS.CA

<h2 style="margin: 0;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</h2>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/668,133	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOHARRAM, Omayma EL-SAYED	
		<b>Examiner</b> DEBRA ANTONIENKO	<b>Art Unit</b> 3689	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Debra Antonienko.

(2) Janice Mooneyham.

**Date of Interview:** 6 January 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**   ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Omayma Moharram.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  

/Janice A. Mooneyham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Emphasis was given as to the reason for the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated 29 December 2009 in that amendments to the claims must be from the last version presented which have not been withdrawn. In this case, any amendments to the claims must be made to the After Final Amendments To The Claims dated 27 October 2008 that were entered when the RCE was filed.

Applicant was informed that when amendments to the specification are made, initially Applicant should indicate where in the original disclosure support for the amendments are. In reference to page 35, lines 2-3 of Applicant's Reply dated 19 July 2009, Examiner noted that when such paragraphs as [0009] to [0030], [0036] and [0037] are amended, there should be specific support for the amendments. Rewording to improve clarity must be supported elsewhere in the original disclosure.

Also, with reference to page 35, lines 4-5 of Applicant's Reply dated 19 July 2009, Examiner noted that adding several sentences into a paragraph is NOT considered a typographical error as forwarded by Applicant with regard to paragraph [0169].